CONSENT
ITEMS
# San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District
## Salaries, Payroll Taxes, & Retirement Contributions
### For the Month of June 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th># Reg Employees</th>
<th>Gross Wages</th>
<th>Payroll Taxes</th>
<th>Retirement</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GL Acct Number</td>
<td>(5110,5115,5120)</td>
<td>(5140)</td>
<td>(5150)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>420.00</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>452.14</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Chief</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27,717.67</td>
<td>399.74</td>
<td>13,724.65</td>
<td>41,842.06</td>
<td>1.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28,094.57</td>
<td>437.04</td>
<td>8,359.21</td>
<td>36,890.82</td>
<td>1.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22,268.04</td>
<td>367.84</td>
<td>6,531.99</td>
<td>29,167.87</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Prevention</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>114,987.52</td>
<td>1,639.34</td>
<td>45,763.15</td>
<td>162,380.01</td>
<td>4.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33,159.24</td>
<td>846.90</td>
<td>8,269.40</td>
<td>42,275.54</td>
<td>1.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25,384.05</td>
<td>524.91</td>
<td>12,138.08</td>
<td>39,047.04</td>
<td>1.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Suppression</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1,815,860.39</td>
<td>24,615.53</td>
<td>794,120.98</td>
<td>2,634,596.90</td>
<td>81.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Center</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>101,013.43</td>
<td>1,746.95</td>
<td>25,868.42</td>
<td>128,628.80</td>
<td>3.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13,959.68</td>
<td>202.41</td>
<td>4,239.55</td>
<td>18,401.64</td>
<td>0.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>48,552.82</td>
<td>704.01</td>
<td>28,713.46</td>
<td>77,970.29</td>
<td>2.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26,549.27</td>
<td>384.96</td>
<td>13,154.79</td>
<td>40,089.02</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>183</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,258,966.68</strong></td>
<td><strong>31,901.77</strong></td>
<td><strong>960,883.68</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,251,752.13</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010
To: Board of Directors
From: Robert Leete, Administrative Services Director
Subject: Excess Workers’ Compensation Insurance

Background:

The District is self insured for workers’ compensation insurance. Routine claims are budgeted in the District’s annual operating budget and paid as claims occur. The District also budgets a set-aside/contingency of $1,000,000 for potentially large workers’ compensation claims. In order to mitigate loss due to extraordinary claims, the District purchases excess workers’ compensation insurance for expenses over and above the $1,000,000 self-insured retention. The excess workers’ compensation insurance policy’s term is from July 1 through June 30.

A request for 2010-11 policy year quotations was sent to six (6) excess workers’ compensation insurance companies. Five companies declined to submit quotes for this policy. Only one (1) company submitted a quote.

After reviewing alternatives available to the District and with respect to the District’s claims history, staff is recommending that the District proceed with continuation of the excess workers’ compensation insurance policy with National Union Fire Insurance Company for a total premium of $228,284.00. This represents a cost equal to the premium cost for FY 2009-10 and is within the FY 2010-11 budget authorization.

Recommendation:

Authorize staff to proceed with the acceptance of the excess workers’ compensation insurance policy with National Union Fire Insurance Company (AIU) (Limit of Liability: Statutory, Self-Insured Retention: $1,000,000, Deposit: $217,414, Rate per $100 of Payroll: 0.9846). In addition to the premium noted above, there is a 5% brokerage fee ($10,870.00), for a total cost of $228,284.00.
MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010

To: Board of Directors

From: Steven J. Hart, Assistant Fire Chief

Subject: Purchasing Authority per District Ordinance 20

Section 5.3 of District Ordinance requires that purchases in excess of $25,000 have specific approval by the Board of Directors. Tonight’s Consent Calendar contains one item requiring such authorization. This item is contained in the C.O.P. budget.

Consent Calendar Item No. 5.6

$94,145.26 to Cal-Bay Construction, Inc. for Progress Payment No.11 for the construction of Annex Storage Building No. 36.
MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010
To: Board of Directors
From: Steven J. Hart, Assistant Fire Chief
Subject: Purchasing Authority per District Ordinance 20

Section 5.3 of District Ordinance requires that purchases in excess of $25,000 have specific approval by the Board of Directors. Tonight’s Consent Calendar contains one item requiring such authorization. This item is contained in the C.O.P. budget.

Consent Calendar Item No. 5.7:

Authorization and pre-approval to Cal-Bay Construction, Inc. for Progress Payment #012 for the construction of Annex Storage Building No. 36, in an amount not to exceed $72,000.

Request for pre-approval is to abide by the District’s contractual obligations to Cal Bay for payment for the month of July, due to Board of Director’s meeting not being held in August.
MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010

To: Board of Directors

From: Robert Leete, Administrative Services Director

Subject: Authorization for Payment to EBMUD-Hydrant Upgrades

Background:

The District has been working with East Bay Municipal Utility District to complete an upgrade to 73 hydrants located in Alamo, Danville, Diablo and San Ramon. Approximately one-half of this project was budgeted and anticipated to be completed by June 30, 2010. The second phase of the project was budgeted and approved in the FY 2010-11 budget.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>FY 2009-10</th>
<th>$50,000   (Not Expended)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY 2010-11</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to EBMUD project proposal delays, all of the upgrades are scheduled to be made by EBMUD during FY 2010-11. The total cost for EBMUD to upgrade the hydrants is $89,863. Staff is requesting authority to pay EBMUD for the entire upgrade project in FY 2010-11. This expense will be reconciled in the mid-year budget adjustments using the “carryover” from FY 2009-10, plus the appropriation for FY 2010-11.

Recommended Board Action:

Authorize payment to EBMUD in the amount of $89,863 for the hydrant upgrades pursuant to the EBMUD proposal dated June 25, 2010.
June 25, 2010

San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District
Attn: Darren Olguin, Captain
1500 Bollinger Canyon Road
San Ramon, CA 94583

SUBJECT: H10022-94; UPGRADE FROM STANDARD HYDRANT BODIES TO STEAM BODIES 73 EXISTING FIRE HYDRANTS LOCATED THROUGHOUT ALAMO, DANVILLE, DIABLO AND SAN RAMON

Dear Captain Olguin:

The District has reviewed your request to upgrade 73 existing fire hydrants located throughout the San Ramon Valley Fire District’s service area. The cost to replace the standard hydrant bodies with steamer hydrant bodies is $89,863.00 (73 x $1231).

Enclosed are three copies each Fire Hydrant Applications to upgrade the subject hydrant bodies. If you wish to proceed with the upgrades, please sign and return all copies of the Applications with your remittance of $89,863.00. Fully executed copies of the applications will be returned to you.

Upon receipt of your payment and the signed applications, work orders will be prepared and forwarded to our work forces for scheduling.

This offer is good for thirty days. If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 287-1234.

Sincerely,

Patricia K. Patrick
Senior New Business Representative

Enclosures
MEMORANDUM

Date:    July 28, 2010
To:      Board of Directors
From:    Robert Leete, Administrative Services Director
Subject: District Investment Policy – Quarterly Review

Background:

Pursuant to Section 5.2 of the District’s Investment Policy, staff is required to present a quarterly report of investments to the Board of Directors. The quarterly report for the period April 1, 2010 – June 30, 2010 is attached. The investments held on behalf of the District are in compliance with the District’s investment policy.

Also attached is the Quarterly Statement for the District’s California Employers’ Retiree Benefit Trust account. The purpose of this trust fund is to set-aside aside funds to pay for health care benefits for retired employees. These investments are in a pooled fund managed by Cal PERS.

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Board review and receive the quarterly investment report for the period April 1, 2010 – June 30, 2010 and review and receive the California Employers’ Retiree Benefit Trust Quarterly Statement dated March 31, 2010.
## SAN RAMON VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
### INVESTMENT REPORT
#### JUNE 30, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Investment</th>
<th>Financial Institution</th>
<th>Date of Maturity</th>
<th>Par Value/ Cost</th>
<th>Market Value</th>
<th>Rate of Interest</th>
<th>Fiscal Year-to-Date Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>Union Bank</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$579,841</td>
<td>$579,841</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>$1,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>Union Bank - Premier Account</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4,190,432</td>
<td>4,190,432</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>Union Bank - CERT Funds</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>33,051</td>
<td>33,051</td>
<td>0.09%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency Investment Fund</td>
<td>Local Agency Investment Fund</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>33,009,459</td>
<td>33,003,719</td>
<td>0.56%</td>
<td>188,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency Investment Fund</td>
<td>Local Agency Investment Fund CERT Funds</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,673</td>
<td>0.56%</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money Market</td>
<td>U.S. Bank Money Market Deposit Account</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1,339,673</td>
<td>1,339,673</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
<td>3,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$39,146,128</td>
<td>$39,299,389</td>
<td></td>
<td>$198,290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average weighted yield 0.49%
Market values obtained from monthly statements issued by Union Bank and U.S. Bank.

### SAN RAMON VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
#### INVESTMENTS BY TYPE
#### JUNE 30, 2010

- **Cash** $4,803,324
  - Percent Distribution 12%
- **Money Market** $1,339,673
  - Percent Distribution 3%
- **Local Agency Investment Fund** $33,009,459
  - Percent Distribution 35%

The District has sufficient funds available to meet the next six months of financial obligations.

The June 2010 investments are in accordance with the District adopted investment policy.

---

Gloria Sessa  
Finance Supervisor  
7/19/2010

Robert Lene  
Administrative Services Director  
7/19/2010
California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust
QUARTERLY STATEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Period Ending: March 31, 2010</th>
<th>Employer ID: 7349-000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 01, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td>$4,236,485.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Earnings</td>
<td>$157,386.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursement</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Expenses^1</td>
<td>($274.79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
<td>$4,395,597.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

March 31, 2010

1. Most administrative expenses are allocated in the fourth quarter.

For any questions concerning your statement, please contact CalPERS at FCSD-CERBT@CalPERS.ca.gov or 888-CalPERS (or 888-225-7377)
San Ramon Valley Fire Protection Dist

California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust

QUARTERLY STATEMENT

Period Ending: March 31, 2010
Employer ID 7349-000

1/1/2010 Beginning Balance $4,238,485.18
3/31/2010 Investment Earnings $157,386.83
3/31/2010 Administrative Expenses ($274.79)
3/31/2010 Ending Balance $4,395,597.22

For any questions concerning your statement, please contact CalPERS at FCSD-CERBT@CalPERS.ca.gov or 888-CalPERS (or 888-225-7377)

California Public Employees' Retirement System

www.calpers.ca.gov
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MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010

To: Board of Directors

From: Steve Call, Technology Systems Manager

Subject: Intergraph Computer-aided Dispatch Software Upgrade

Background:

The District utilizes Intergraph Computer-aided Dispatch (CAD) products to support dispatching, mobile data, automated vehicle location (AVL), paging, fire station printing and mapping functions. In order to meet several of the technology goals stated in the 2008-2013 Business Plan, staff has allocated funds in the fiscal year 2010-2011 budget to upgrade the existing Intergraph CAD and interface software to version 9.1.0.

The fiscal year 2010-2011 technology budget allotted a total of $221,000 from the capital and professional services budgets for the Intergraph CAD upgrade. The CAD upgrade project comprises a CAD version upgrade from version 8.0.2 to 9.1.0, upgrades to the existing CAD database and interface software and the addition of Intergraph’s Fire Station Alerting software that will be used to interface to the new Zetron fire station alerting solution. The upgrade also includes workshops to provide CAD delta training and configuration of both the CAD 9.1.0 user interface and mobile software.

Recommendation:

Staff recommends the District contract with Intergraph Corporation to perform the CAD, database, interface and mapping software upgrade at a cost not to exceed $220,689. Because Intergraph Corporation provides the District’s current dispatch software, the contract will be a sole source agreement, as no other option exists to include multiple vendors in the bidding process.
San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District
Active Pension Legislation Report

July 12, 2010

TO: Board Members
San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District

FROM: Ralph F. Simoni and Julianne Broyles
California Advocates, Inc.

SUBJECT: Board Report – Second Quarter Pension and Retirement
Related Legislation

There are 30 bills relating to state and county pension retirement benefits that both remain active from the 2009 legislative session and are newly introduced during the 2010 session.

Once again, we have divided the quarterly report into "Legislative Activity" and "Non-Legislative Activity" in order to provide a more comprehensive report on the topic because of the breadth of public policy attention to pension and retirement related issues. At some level, the legislative and non-legislative activity converges on a broader public policy scale. This is especially true with regards to reconciling the current impasse on the state budget as discussed below.

As a student of and participant in public policy for over 38 years, I cannot recall a topic that has evolved so quickly to dominate public policy like the topic of pension reform. Governor Schwarzenegger has unequivocally announced that he will not sign a state budget until he is provided with genuine and long-lasting pension reform (see discussion below). Most interestingly, the messaging on pension reform has shifted from isolated incidents of abuse to a much broader debate about whether the state/local governments can sustain essential government services demanded by the public while burdened with unsustainable pension obligations -- you can't have both. This is a significant shift in the public debate and a powerful manner in which to frame the debate. Likewise, the public pension fund debate has received high visibility in the media, as well as editorial board attention.
Contrary to my prognostications in the April 1st Quarter report to the SRVFPD Board, Governor Schwarzenegger did not call a special session on public pension reform. It is likely that he considers the leverage of a budget solution to be the best opportunity for reform. This will undoubtedly subject the Governor to do considerable public criticism from the public employee unions as the state budget is delayed.

**Legislative Activity**

At this writing, the Legislature is in a four-week summer recess after having completed the policy committee review in both houses for all introduced legislation. These bills are divided into three distinct categories -- bills that remain alive for further action, bills that are dead, and bills that have been sent to the Governor for his action. Absent unlikely rule waivers and other procedural tactics, the pension bills on the attached Legislative Status Report are those likely to be acted upon with one major exception. The exception is the potential for major substantive reform that could be included in so-called "trailer bills" to the state budget.

In addition to the updated status, the attached Legislative Status Report has been expanded to include additional information of interest to the SRVFPD Board. For example, we have compiled a list of organizations that either support or oppose the various bills. In addition, we have included specific notes of interest where appropriate and informative.

Most bills propose minor tinkering to the various state/local government pension systems (e.g., AB 609 relating to capping maximum expenses to county retirement systems, SB 414 relating to alternate candidates to the Orange County employee retirement boards, etc.) or bills that apply to a specific local government retirement system (e.g., AB's 1658, 1739, and 1902 relating to the County of Los Angeles and AB 1667 relating to the County of Alameda). There are several bills that address the issue of public employee retirement status for individuals called to active military duty. Additionally, AB 1743 would regulate the practice of "placement agents" who receive commissions for arranging investments with various public employee pension funds.

Both the Democrats and Republicans have sponsored major pension reform bills relating to retirement computation (pension spiking and a final compensation) amongst other reforms. The Democrat sponsored reform bills are AB 1987 and SB 1425 which both remain alive in the second house. The Republican sponsored reform bill is SB 919 which had the backing of Governor Schwarzenegger, but was defeated in its first policy committee hearing before the Senate Public
Employment and Retirement Committee on a party line vote. Most of the elements of the Governor's reform proposal are contained in SB 919.

The Legislature returns on August 2 for the final 4 1/2 weeks of the 2010 legislative session for adjournment on August 31. Obviously, the most important issue remaining on the legislative agenda is adoption of the 2010/11 fiscal year state budget which has already missed the July 1 constitutional deadline date. At this writing, there does not appear to be progress toward an early solution.

**Non-Legislative Activity**

As mentioned above, Governor Schwarzenegger has insisted upon pension reform as a condition to signing a state budget. The elements of pension reform articulated by the Governor must be statutorily implemented and the separate and apart from the memorandums of understanding with public employee bargaining units. The four reform elements are as follows:

1. Roll back the expansion of pension benefits adopted in 1999.
2. Require a permanent 5% increase in employee pre-tax contribution toward retirement benefits.
3. Calculate the retirement rate based on the highest three years of wages during employment instead of the highest single year.
4. Require full disclosure by state pension funds and honest funding of pension promises as and when those promises are made.

In addition to shifting the debate as discussed above, Governor Schwarzenegger is in "full campaign mode" to raise the visibility of and public sentiment for pension reform. (See attached "What They're Saying...") For example, the Governor recently hosted what he referred to as "a pension reform roundtable with academics, elected officials, students, and opinion leaders." Equally interesting, the roundtable participants included high visibility Democrats like former Assembly Speaker and San Francisco Mayor Willie Brown in order to portray the bipartisan nature of comprehensive public pension reform. The message points of this campaign will be an alleged "$500 billion unfunded pension debt" for state and local governments and a "more than 2000%" increase in pension costs since 1999 that is the cause of reduced state services.

On a broader basis, many prominent newspapers have run feature articles focused on the pension crisis and editorialized on pension reform in their local communities. Members of the SRVFPD Board are certainly familiar with the July 7
editorial in the Contra Costa Times that uses very strong language to describe the provisions of AB 1987 as "a charade" and "legislation masquerading as pension reform." This has encouraged solidarity amongst local governments throughout the state to jointly address the issue of pension reform on a regional basis.

The high-profile and constant barrage of pension abuse and the call for reform is starting to become evident. In response, many state and local bargaining units have chosen to capitulate too many of the pension reform proposals for future hires. In fact, general public sentiment is so pervasive that it has been speculated that public employee pension reform could become a "populist" issue in the upcoming gubernatorial election.

Clearly, these non-legislative activities will converge with legislative activity to result in significant and comprehensive public employee pension reform during the next few months before legislative adjournment, especially if the topic remains attached to the state budget solution.
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Attachments
... About The Need For Pension Reform

California Editorials: “The Current System Is Plainly More Than The State Can Afford ... We Need A New System With Much Less Generous Pensions.”

Sacramento Bee: “If California Is Ever To Emerge From Its Budget Crisis, Something Must Be Done To Lower Pension Costs.” (Editorial, “Pension Reform Faces Tough Fight,” Sacramento Bee, 5/24/10)

- “Lawmakers Have An Obligation To Place The Interests Of All Californians Above Those Of Public Employee Unions.”

San Jose Mercury News: “We Don’t Have To Eliminate Public Employee Pensions To Get Things Under Control. We Just Have To Return Them To Reasonably Generous Levels.” (Editorial, “Schwarzenegger Gets Pensions Turned Back In Right Direction,” San Jose Mercury News, 6/18/10)
- “When Davis Started The Pension Chain Reaction, The Stock Market Was In The Stratosphere And The Increases Seemed Like Free Money. Today, The Flagging Market Is Forcing The State And Many Local Governments To Make Up Millions Of Dollars To Keep Pension Funds Whole — Money That Otherwise Could Be Used For Public Safety, Parks, Libraries And Street Repairs.”

- “No Definition Of Fairness Includes Retirement Plans That Consume Billions Of Taxpayers’ Dollars Every Year While Public Services Suffer.”


North County Times: “Think Again If You’ve Decided It’s Just Anti-Union Smoke That’s Behind The Pension Reform Talk ... It Is Concern That California Stands At The Edge Of A Fiscal Abyss.” (Editorial, “Pension Deficits Should Be Campaign Issue,” North County Times, 4/13/10)


Orange County Register: “Taxpayers Will Have To Pick Up The Slack For Low-Performing Pension
Funds." (Editorial, "Unions Above Taxpayers," Orange County Register, 5/14/10)

Santa Cruz Sentinel: "If State And Local Governments Are Going To Make Further Inroads On Unsustainable Pension Benefits, The Time Is Now." (Editorial, "One Step For Pension Reform," Santa Cruz Sentinel, 6/17/10)

- "We Need A New System With Much Less Generous Pensions."

- "The Current System Is Plainly More Than The State Can Afford, And Pension Costs Are Increasingly Digging Into The General Fund … Education Budgets Are Being Cut."


- "Bring Government Pay And Benefits Into Line With Those In The Private Sector; Clean Up The Politically Corrupt Pension Oversight System, And Require That Future Pension Giveaways Be Approved By Voters."
- Politicians "Have Outsmarted Voters By Turning Public Servants Into Financiers Of Politicians’ Careers."

National Editorials: "California’s Pension Problem Has Turned Into A Full-Fledged Fiscal Crisis"


- "When Unions Complain About Their Vilification In A Coming Battle, They And Their Political Allies Will Only Have Themselves To Blame For Ignoring The Words Of Progressive Democrats Like Willie Brown And David Crane."

USA TODAY: "One Of The Most Troubling Social Trends In Recent Years Has Been The Pension Gap Between State And Local Employees … And The Private Sector Workers Whose Taxes Pay For Those Pensions." (Editorial, "States Come To Grips With Pricy Pension Promises…," USA TODAY, 6/14/10)
- "It Is At Least Encouraging To See Some States Grapple With Their Existing Pensions. Many More Need To Follow Suit Before America Becomes A Nation Of Pension Haves And Have-Not's."

Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger
State Capitol Building
Sacramento, CA 95814
Legislative Status Report

SRVFPD-Pension

AB 155  (Mendoza) Local government: bankruptcy proceedings.  (Amended 06/01/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/14/2010-To inactive file on motion of Senator DeSaulnier.
Summary: Would provide that a local public entity may only file under federal bankruptcy law with the
approval of the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission, except as specified.
Notes: AB 155 prohibits cities and counties from filing for bankruptcy without state approval. This bill
would require municipalities seeking federal bankruptcy protection to obtain approval from a state
committee (the California Debt and Investment Advisory Committee) with no experience in federal
bankruptcy law.

Support: long list of public employee unions who would like to ensure public employee jobs and
pension interests are scrutinized by state officials.

Oppose: long list of local governments and related entities that believe that this is an issue of local
control and are balking at what their organizatins perceive as unnecessary intrusion by the state into
local affairs.

AB 609  (Conway) County employees retirement: administrative costs.  (Amended 06/22/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/30/2010-Read second time. To third reading.
Summary: Would instead prohibit expenses incurred in any year from exceeding 25/100 of 1% of the
accrued actuarial liability of the retirement system. The bill would also make a conforming change
regarding maximum expense in any year the expenditures include certain computer expenses.
Notes: A placeholder bill that, according to the author's office, is a possible vehicle for concensus
pension reform legislation in 2010.

Sponsor/Support: State Association of County Retirement Systems.

AB 1354  (Fong) County employees' retirement.  (Amended 06/24/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/30/2010-Read second time. To Consent Calendar.
Summary: Would specify that a retirement system established pursuant to CERL shall act in
accordance with the requirements of federal law described above. The bill would also require that
service for vesting purposes be credited to a member who dies while performing qualified military
service for the period of his or her qualified military service. These provisions would apply to deaths
that occur on or after January 1, 2007. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing
laws.
Notes: AB 1354 contains provisions that bring the "37 Act law into full compliance with federal tax law.

Sponsor/Support: State Association of County Retirement Systems

AB 1651  (De La Torre) Public Employees' Retirement Law: furloughs: retirement credit.  (Amended
05/28/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/29/2010-From committee: Do pass, and re-refer to Com. on APPR. Re-referred. (Ayes 4,
Noes 2.) (June 28).
Calendar: 07/15/10 10 a.m. - Room 3191 SEN APPROPRIATIONS
Summary: Would provide that the calculations for retirement allowances, under the Public
Employees' Retirement Law, for specified local safety members and persons who are employees of
specified educational entities and who are subject to mandatory furloughs shall include, as credit for
service and compensation, the amount of service and compensation that would have been credited
and paid had the employee not been subject to mandatory furloughs on or after July 1, 2008, as
AB 1658 (Lieu) County employees’ retirement: service credit: safety members.  (Enrolled 07/06/2010 html pdf)
Status: 07/06/2010-Enrolled and to the Governor at 10:30 a.m.
Summary: Would authorize specified members in the County of Los Angeles that become safety members by operation of a specified consolidation of departments within that county to receive service credit, as a safety member, for duties performed as an officer prior to the consolidation. This bill would require a member that elects to receive the service credit to pay an amount equal to the contributions, together with the regular interest the member would have made for that service, either by a lump sum payment or by installment payments.
Notes: Applies only to the Los Angeles County Office of Public Safety members who, as a result of the consolidation of that office with the Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department, become safety members of LA County Employee Retirement Association, to elect to receive service credit as a safety member for duties performed as a peace officer in the Office of Public Safety prior to the merger.
SUPPORT: Los Angeles County Professional Peace Officer Association (Co-Sponsor); Association of Los Angeles Deputy Sheriffs (Co-Sponsor); Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department (Co-Sponsor)
OPPOSE: None on file

AB 1667 (Swanson) Public employment: County of Alameda.  (Enrolled 07/07/2010 html pdf)
Status: 07/07/2010-Enrolled and to the Governor at 2 p.m.
Summary: Would authorize the Board of Supervisors of the County of Alameda, by resolution adopted by majority vote as part of any negotiated memorandum of understanding with a bargaining unit that represents safety employees, to require a safety employee of that bargaining unit or unrepresented safety employee hired after approval of the resolution, to elect in writing a permanent choice between 2 specified pension calculations, as specified. The bill would also authorize the board to adopt a resolution by majority vote to provide a different formula or calculation of retirement benefits for new members of other safety bargaining units or other unrepresented safety employees hired after approval of the resolution, as specified, or to provide a different formula or calculation of safety retirement benefits for new safety members in one bargaining unit than that which is provided for new safety members of other bargaining units or new unrepresented safety members. This bill contains other related provisions.
Notes: Alameda County specific legislation that implements the retirement provisions of a recently negotiated bargaining agreement between Alameda County and the Alameda County Deputy Sheriff’s Association (DSA).
SUPPORT: County of Alameda (Sponsor); Deputy Sheriffs’ Association of Alameda County
OPPOSE: none on file

AB 1739 (Lieu) County employees’ retirement: disability: military leave.  (Enrolled 07/06/2010 html pdf)
Status: 07/06/2010-Enrolled and to the Governor at 10:30 a.m.
Summary: Would in Los Angeles County, upon adoption by the board of supervisors or a district governing body, require that a member who becomes permanently incapacitated for the performance of duty with his or her county or district as a direct result of injury or disease arising out of, and in the course of, active military service while on military leave, as defined, be retired for nonservice-connected disability regardless of age or years of service. The bill would also permit the surviving spouse of a member who dies in the course of this active military service to receive the combined death benefit without regard to what would otherwise be required with regard to the member. The bill would provide that the right to these benefits is not vested with respect to any member prior to the member’s retirement or death.
Notes:
LA County specific legislation that allows death and disability benefits to be provided to an county employee who is injured or killed during active military duty.
SUPPORT: Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department (Sponsor)
Association for Los Angeles Deputy Sheriffs
California Professional Firefighters
Orange County Employees Association
Los Angeles County Probation Officers Union

OPPOSE: None on file

**AB 1743 (Hernandez) Political Reform Act of 1974: placement agents.** (Amended 06/17/2010 html pdf)
**Status:** 06/30/2010-From committee: Do pass, and re-refer to Com. on APPR. Re-referred. (Ayes 4, Noes 0.) (June 29).
**Summary:** Would amend the existing definition of "placement agent" to mean a person or entity hired, engaged, or retained by an external manager, as defined, to raise money or investment from a public retirement system in California for compensation, and would exclude from that definition an employee, officer, director, equityholder, partner, member, or trustee of an external manager who spends 1/3 or more of his or her time, during a calendar year, managing the assets controlled by the external manager. The bill would define "placement agent" in a similar way for purposes of the Political Reform Act of 1974, except that the definition would be limited to an individual acting to raise money or investment from a state public retirement system in California and would not include in-house employees, officers, or directors of specified external managers or of affiliates of those external managers. In addition, the bill would prohibit a person from acting as a placement agent in connection with any potential system investment made by a state public retirement system unless that person is registered as a lobbyist and is in full compliance with the Political Reform Act of 1974 as that act applies to lobbyists. The bill would also require a person acting as a placement agent in connection with any potential system investment made by a local public retirement system to file any applicable reports with a local government agency that requires lobbyists to register and file reports and to comply with any applicable requirements imposed by a local government agency. The bill would provide that an individual acting as a placement agent is a lobbyist for purposes of the Political Reform Act of 1974 and is thereby required to comply with all regulations and restrictions imposed on lobbyists by the act, and the bill would further expand the definition of "administrative action" for purposes of the act to include, with regard only to placement agents, the decision by any state agency to enter into a contract to invest state public retirement system assets on behalf of a state public retirement system. The bill would require a placement agent who is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and regulated by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority to receive a payment of fees for contractual services provided to an investment manager, except to the extent that payment of fees is prohibited by the prescription on contingency payments to placement agents. Additionally, the bill would require the Public Employees' Retirement System and the State Teachers' Retirement System to each provide to the Legislature, not later than August 1, 2012, a report on the use of placement agents in connection with investments made by those retirement systems. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

**Notes:** Bipartisan supported measure that requires placement agents that do business with California's state and local public retirement systems to be subject to the same reporting and ethics rules that govern lobbyists.

Support: CalPERS Board, State Controller, State Treasurer, California Professional Firefighters, CalPERS Board of Administration (co-sponsor)California Special Districts Association; California State Association of Counties

Oppose: Various financial and brokerage firms, including Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association; Blackstone Group; Capstone Partners and Investment Company Institute

**AB 1821 (Ma) Public Employees' Retirement System: preretirement death benefits.** (Amended 06/23/2010 html pdf)
**Status:** 06/23/2010-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
**Calendar:** 07/15/10 10 a.m. - Room 3191 SEN APPROPRIATIONS
**Summary:** Would require assets and liabilities of contracting agencies subject to those provisions to be pooled, as specified, after June 30, 2011. The bill would also provide that on and after July 1, 2011, certain members employed by a contracting agency entitled to receive benefits under the 1959 survivor allowance provisions instead receive increased benefits, as specified. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
AB 1856  (Fong) Public employees' retirement.  (Amended 06/07/2010 html pdf)
Status: 07/01/2010-In Assembly. Concurrency in Senate amendments pending. May be considered
on or after July 8 pursuant to Assembly Rule 77.
Calendar: 08/02/10 88 ASM UNFINISHED BUSINESS CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS
Summary: Would authorize a member authorized to pay for credit for service in after-tax installments
to elect in writing, including by verified electronic transaction, at any time prior to retirement, to
suspend after-tax installment payments for a period not to exceed 12 months or to prospectively
cancel payment of the remaining unpaid balance for those installment payments, as specified. The bill
would require that installment payments automatically resume at the end of the suspension period, or
earlier if requested by the member. The bill would prohibit an additional suspension of those
installment payments for the same service for 3 years following the resumption of installment
payments. The bill would require that the balance due at the end of a suspension period be
recalculated to include interest accrued during the suspension. This bill contains other related
provisions.
Notes:
CalPERS sponsored AB 1856 allows members of CalPERS making after-tax installment payments on
a service credit purchase to suspend or cancel their service credit purchase election.

AB 1902  (Portantino) County employees' retirement: Los Angeles County.  (Enrolled 07/07/2010 html pdf)
Status: 07/07/2010-Enrolled and to the Governor at 2 p.m.
Summary: Would instead provide that a member who transfers from Retirement Plan E to Retirement
Plan D, as specified, and who becomes disabled and has not completed the 2 continuous years of
active service or earned 5 years of retirement service credit under Retirement Plan D after his or her
most recent transfer date (1) may apply for and receive only a deferred or service retirement
allowance, or (2) may elect to transfer prospectively back to Retirement Plan E, and for the purposes
of calculating his or her retirement benefits under this section, shall in lieu of credit under Retirement
Plan D be credited with service under Retirement Plan E, as specified, during any period he or she is
totally disabled and is receiving, or eligible to receive, disability benefits, either during or after any
elimination or qualifying period, under a disability plan provided by the employer up to the earlier of the
date he or she retires or no longer qualifies for disability benefits. This bill contains other related
provisions and other existing laws.

AB 1913  (Davis) Retirement: pension fund.  (Amended 04/22/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/03/2010-Referred to Com. on RLS.
Summary: Would require the Board of Administration of the Public Employees' Retirement System
and the Teachers' Retirement Board, on or before January 1, 2012, until January 1, 2016, to report
annually to the Legislature the ethnicity and gender of emerging investment managers, as defined,
who participate in managing its portfolio of fund management contracts, as specified. The bill would
also require the board to develop and include in the report plans and strategies to increase the
participation of emerging investment managers until they manage at least 10% of the board's actively
managed portfolio. This bill contains other existing laws.
Notes: Currently a spot bill

Status: 06/24/2010-From committee: Do pass, and re-refer to Com. on APPR. Re-referred. (Ayes 5,
Noes 0.) (June 23).
Summary: Would generally provide, effective July 1, 2011, that any change in salary, compensation,
or remuneration principally for the purpose of enhancing a member's benefits would not be included in
the calculation of a member's final compensation for purposes of determining that member's defined
benefit. The bill would require the board of each state and local public retirement system to establish,
by regulation, accountability provisions that would include an ongoing audit process to ensure that a
change in a member's salary, compensation, or remuneration is not made principally for the purpose of
enhancing a member's retirement benefits. This bill would limit the calculation of a member's final
compensation to an amount not to exceed the average increase in compensation received within the
final compensation period and the 2 preceding years by employees in the same or a related group as
that member. This bill would also require a board of each state and local public retirement system to
establish, by regulation, a requirement that a retired person may not perform services for any employer
covered by a state or local retirement system until that person has been separated from service for a
period of at least 150 days. This bill would provide for the implementation of the changes under the applicable retirement laws that apply to counties and cities. This bill contains other related provisions.

AB 2149  (Furutani) County retirement. (Introduced 02/18/2010 html pdf)
Status: 05/20/2010-Referral to Com. on RLS.
Summary: Would replace the term "firemen" with "firefighters" and make other related technical changes.
Notes: Currently a non-substantive, technical cleanup bill.

AB 2250  (Harkey) Public employees' retirement: safety members. (Amended 04/05/2010 html pdf)
Status: 04/23/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(5). (Last location was P.E.,R. & S.S. on 4/6/2010)
Summary: Would provide that final compensation for a person who becomes a state member of the system on or after January 1, 2011, and who is represented by State Bargaining Unit 5, 6, 8, 9, or is a peace officer/firefighter member of State Bargaining Unit 7, means the highest annual average compensation earnable by the member during a designated 36-month period. The bill would also apply this definition of final compensation to a state employee who is exempted from the definition of state employee for purposes of state labor relations, as specified, or an officer or employee of the executive branch of state government who is not a member of the civil service, who is employed by the state for the first time and becomes a state member of the system on or after January 1, 2011.
Notes: Currently a spot bill.

AB 2341  (Huber) Public Employees' Retirement System: Board of Administration. (Introduced 02/19/2010 html pdf)
Status: 04/23/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(5). (Last location was P.E.,R. & S.S. on 3/11/2010)
Summary: Would provide that there is a vacancy in the office of member of the board in specified circumstances, including, but not limited to, that the board member resigns or is removed, or the board member files a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy, as specified. The bill would provide that these changes would take effect only when submitted to and approved by the voters, and would require its provisions to be submitted for approval by the voters. This bill contains other existing laws.

AB 2436  (Buchanan) County employees retirement. (Introduced 02/19/2010 html pdf)
Status: 05/07/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was PRINT on 2/19/2010)
Summary: Would declare the intent of Legislature to enact legislation that would address issues relating to county public employee retirement pensions.
Notes: Currently a spot bill.

AB 2452  (Silva) County employees' retirement systems: Orange County: retirement benefits.  
(Amended 04/08/2010 html pdf)
Status: 05/07/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was P.E.,R. & S.S. on 4/12/2010)
Summary: Would authorize the board of supervisors of Orange County to establish an optional SMART retirement plan for its general members under an executed memorandum of understanding with employee representatives, if the board of supervisors, by a majority vote, adopts a resolution providing that the provisions of this bill shall be applicable. This bill would not require members to make contributions to the SMART retirement plan.
Notes: Currently a spot bill.

AB 2510  (Fletcher) Public employees' retirement: contracting agencies: postretirement health coverage.  
(Amended 04/27/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/24/2010-From committee: Do pass, and re-refer to Com. on APPR with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. Re-referred. (Ayes 5. Noes 0.) (June 23).
Summary: Would authorize the City of San Diego to enter into an agreement with specified employees to provide employer contributions for postretirement health care coverage to employees with at least 10 years of credited service with the City of San Diego, effective for employees that retire on or after the date that a memorandum of understanding that authorizes this benefit becomes effective.
Notes: Currently a spot bill.
AB 2742 (Blakeslee) Public employment: retirement: survivors' benefits. (Amended 06/07/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/29/2010-From committee: Do pass, and re-refer to Com. on APPR. Re-referred. (Ayes 6, Noes 0.) (June 28).
Summary: Would authorize a request to be made of the employer of a retired state employee, as defined, who died from a nonwork-related illness or injury within 12 months of retirement to allow employees to donate leave credits to a leave bank. The donated leave, not to exceed $50,000, would be cashed out to the person designated to receive the deceased employee's leave balance. The bill would provide that donations would be accepted for 30 days following approval of the request, except as described below. This bill contains other related provisions.
Notes: Permits current employees to donate leave credits to help the survivors of a retired state employee who died from a nonwork-related illness or injury within 12 months of retirement.

SB 414 (Correa) County employee retirement: boards. (Amended 05/19/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/24/2010-Read second time. To third reading.
Calendar: 08/02/10 162 ASM SENATE THIRD READING FILE
Summary: Would delete the authority of the board to prohibit, by a resolution or regulation of the board, a member from having the same rights, privileges, responsibilities, and access to closed sessions as the 2nd, 3rd, 7th, or 8th member, or from holding positions on committees of the board, and participating in board or committee deliberations, as described above. The bill would authorize the alternate 7th member to participate in the deliberations of the board on any of its committees to which the alternate 7th member has been appointed regardless of whether the 2nd, 3rd, 7th, or 8th member is present. The bill would require the board to cause an election to be held at the earliest possible date to fill a vacancy for the duration of the current term, except as specified, if there is a vacancy in the 2nd, 3rd, 7th, 8th, or alternate 7th member position. It would limit candidacy to the 7th member and alternate member positions, as specified. The bill would require the board of supervisors to forgo an election in specified circumstances when there is only one candidate. The bill would also make various changes in terminology and delete obsolete references. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
Notes: This bill, sponsored by the California Retired County Employees Association, is to ensure that alternates that serve on county retirement boards have access to all of the same information on pending issues before those boards, as full members receive.

Support: California Professional Firefighters
Opposition: None on file

SB 653 (Correa) State employees: memorandum of understanding. (Amended 06/17/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/29/2010-Hearing postponed by committee. (Refers to 6/22/2010 hearing)
Summary: Would approve provisions that require the expenditure of funds of a memorandum of understanding entered into between the state employer and an unspecified bargaining unit, and would provide that these provisions will become effective even if these provisions are approved by the Legislature in legislation other than the annual Budget Act. This bill contains other related provisions.
Notes: New gut and amend spot bill concerning state and local pension issues.

SB 883 (Ashburn) Public employees' retirement: service credit. (Introduced 01/19/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/04/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was RLS, on 2/4/2010)
Summary: The Public Employees' Retirement Law provides a comprehensive set of rights and benefits for members of the Public Employees' Retirement System based upon age, service credit, and final compensation. That law establishes retirement formulas, known as the Second Tier, modified First Tier, and First Tier, that are applicable to specified members of the retirement system. Under that law, a member who elects to be subject to Second Tier benefits shall be paid his or her accumulated contributions plus interest, subject to specified conditions. Under that law, effective January 1, 2000, a member who received service credit subject to Second Tier benefits may elect to become subject to First Tier benefits and contribution rates. That law requires a member who elects to become subject to First Tier benefits to deposit accumulated contributions the member withdrew while he or she was subject to Second Tier benefits, plus interest, as specified. This bill would make a technical, and nonsubstantive change to these provisions.
Notes: Currently a spot bill.
SB 919  (Hollingsworth) State employee benefits.  (Amended 04/21/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/14/2010-Set, second hearing. Failed passage in committee. Reconsideration granted.
Summary: Would provide that state employees in State Bargaining Unit 7 first hired on or after the date the act takes effect, in job classifications formerly subject to state safety membership prior to that date, shall be state miscellaneous members of PERS. The bill would provide that these provisions would apply notwithstanding a certain statutory provision or any provision of an expired memorandum of understanding, as specified. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
Notes: Currently a spot bill.

SB 1081  (Ashburn) Retirement: military service.  (Introduced 02/17/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/04/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was RLS. on 2/25/2010)
Summary: Existing law prohibits a member of the Public Employees' Retirement System who receives military retirement pay based on 20 or more years of active duty in the armed forces from also receiving service credit under the system for that military service. However, that prohibition does not apply to credit toward military retirement pay that is earned for active and nonactive reserve duty and that is payable only upon attainment of a specified age. This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change to that provision.
Notes: Currently a spot bill.

SB 1139  (Correa) State retirement: benefit programs.  (Amended 07/01/2010 html pdf)
Status: 07/01/2010-Read third time. Amended. To third reading.
Calendar: 08/02/10 167 ASM SENATE THIRD READING FILE
Summary: (1) The Public Employees' Retirement Law (PERL) provides a comprehensive set of rights and benefits for various employees of the state and local agencies. That law also establishes the Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) and sets forth the provisions for the delivery of benefits, including retirement benefits, health benefits, and an optional tax-deferred compensation program, to its members. Under that law, the retirement benefits of a retirement system member are based, in part, on the completed service credit and compensation received by that member. This bill would make technical and clarifying changes to those provisions of law, including amendments that rename the current "deferred compensation program" as the "tax-preferred retirement savings program." This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
Notes: Currently a spot bill.

Sponsor: Cal PERS
Support: AFSME

SB 1209  (Romero) Public employees' retirement: postretirement death benefits.  (Amended 04/15/2010 html pdf)
Status: 04/23/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(5). (Last location was P.E. & R. on 4/15/2010)
Summary: Would, commencing January 1, 2011, increase the amount of benefits payable to designated beneficiaries of retired school members, to $4,000 for deaths of retired school members occurring on or after January 1, 2011, and before January 1, 2012; to $4,500 for deaths of retired school members occurring on or after January 1, 2012, and before January 1, 2013; to $5,000 for deaths of retired school members occurring on or after January 1, 2013, and before March 31, 2014. The benefit amount payable to designated beneficiaries of retired school members who die on or after April 1, 2014, would be in the amount of $5,000, as annually adjusted pursuant to a specified formula. By providing for funds in the Public Employees' Retirement Fund to be spent for a new purpose, and by increasing contributions to that fund, this bill would make an appropriation.

SB 1271  (Romero) Political Reform Act of 1974: conflict of interest codes.  (Amended 04/05/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/23/2010-From committee: Do pass, but first be re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. N oes 0.) Re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Heard in committee on June 22.)
Summary: Would require a public retirement board, commission, or agency to attach to its conflict of interest code an appendix that lists each position for which an individual occupying that position is required to file a statement of economic interests as a public official who manages public investments, as defined. The bill would further require the board, commission, or agency to post the appendix on its Internet Web site. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
SB 1425  (Simitian) Public retirement: final compensation: computation: retirees.  (Amended 05/04/2010 html pdf)
Status: 06/23/2010-From committee: Do pass, but first be re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6, Noes 0.) Re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Heard in committee on June 23.)
Summary: Would provide that any change in salary, compensation, or remuneration principally for the purpose of enhancing a member's benefits would not be included in the calculation of a member's final compensation for purposes of determining that member's defined benefit. The bill would generally require the board of each state and local public retirement system to establish, by regulation, accountability provisions that would include an ongoing audit process to ensure that a change in a member's salary, compensation, or remuneration is not made principally for the purpose of enhancing a member's retirement benefits. This bill would revise the definition of "creditable compensation" and would limit the calculation of a member's final compensation to an amount not to exceed the average increase in compensation received within the final compensation period and the 2 preceding years by employees in the same or a related group as that member. This bill would also require a board of each state and local public retirement system to establish, by regulation, a requirement that a retired person may not perform services for any employer covered by a state or local retirement system until that person has been separated from service for a period of at least 180 days. This bill would provide for the implementation of these required changes under the laws that govern PERS and STRL. This bill contains other related provisions.
Notes: Support: Various County Employee Associations
Oppose: County Supervisors Association of California, Judicial Council AOC

SB 1479  (Committee on Public Employment and Retirement) Public employment: retirement benefits: administration.  (Amended 05/10/2010 html pdf)
Status: 07/01/2010-In Senate. To unfinished business.
Summary: Would authorize the establishment of the day that a person, who enters county employ on a day other that the first day of the calendar month, becomes a member of the association on a day that occurs no later than 12 weeks after the day that person enters county employ. This bill would also provide for the establishment of the day upon which a member terminates service credited by the association as a day that occurs no earlier than 12 weeks prior to the member's termination from service. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
Notes: 1937 Act Annual Housekeeping Bill

Support: Alameda County Employees Retirement Association, State Association of County Retirement Systems, South Coast Air Quality Management District
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SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS/
PRESENTATIONS/
GENERAL BUSINESS
A PROCLAMATION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

WHEREAS, on September 11, 2001, terrorists hijacked four civilian aircraft, crashing two of them into the towers of the World Trade Center in New York City, and a third into the Pentagon outside Washington, D.C.; and

WHEREAS, the fourth hijacked aircraft crashed in southwestern Pennsylvania after passengers tried to take control of the aircraft in order to prevent the hijackers from crashing the aircraft into an important symbol of democracy and freedom; and

WHEREAS, these attacks were by far the deadliest terrorist attacks ever launched against the United States, killing thousands of innocent people; and

WHEREAS, in the aftermath of the attacks the people of the United States stood united in providing support for those in need; and

WHEREAS, the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled and designated September 11 as Patriot Day; and

WHEREAS, the flag of the United States will be displayed at half-staff on Patriot Day; and a moment of silence will be observed on Patriot Day in honor of the individuals who lost their lives as a result of the terrorist attacks against the United States that occurred on September 11, 2001; and

WHEREAS, the President has requested that each year, state and local governments and the people of the United States observe Patriot Day;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved that the Board of Directors of the San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District proclaim September 11 as Patriot Day, and shall be so designated each year thereafter.

Date: July 28, 2010

Roxanne Lindsay, President
Board of Directors
OLD BUSINESS
MEMORANDUM

Date:    July 28, 2010
To:      Board of Directors
From:    Richard Price, Fire Chief
Subject: Consulting Services Agreement with California Advocates, Inc. (Item 7.2)

Background:

The District currently has a Consulting Service Agreement with California Advocates, Inc. for legislative advocacy and focused analysis of public pension legislation. The public pension aspect of the contract is the result of Board pension reform policy adopted in October, 2009 that requires quarterly review of legislative activity related to retirement law. The monthly cost of these services is $5,500.

Present Situation:

At the June 23, 2010 Board Meeting the directors requested that this contract be revisited and the feasibility a fee for services contract explored in an effort to reduce costs.

Recommendation:

Authorize staff to execute a new contract with California Advocates, Inc. in the amount of $2,000 per month that would continue the review and reporting public pension related legislation and legislative proposals while providing for additional legislative advocacy services on an as needed only basis. This would result in a potential savings of $42,000 per year.
MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010

To: Board of Directors

From: Steven J. Hart, Assistant Fire Chief, Support Services

Subject: Pre-payment discount offer on three Type 1 Fire Truck Chassis

Background:

At the January 2010 Board of Directors meeting, staff was authorized to purchase three Type 1 Fire Engines. A purchase contract with Hi Tech Fire Apparatus was initiated and signed on March 19, 2010. Apparatus committee members completed a pre-inspection of the chassis at Spartan Motors in Charlotte, Michigan in late April. The three chassis arrived in Oakdale at Hi-Tech Fire Apparatus on June 3rd. Apparatus committee members completed their final inspection of the chassis on June 10th.

The fire engine pump, tank, and cabinetry work has begun and completion is estimated to be March, 2011.

The purchase contract with Hi-Tech Fire Apparatus allows for a $2,000 per engine credit if the District prepays for the chassis when delivered to Oakdale. At the June Board meeting, the Directors choose not to exercise this option.

Current Situation:

Hi Tech Fire Apparatus has increased the pre-payment offer amount to $3,000 per chassis.

Recommendation:

Consider the revised discount offer of $9,000 (3 x $3,000).
NEW BUSINESS
SAN RAMON VALLEY
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MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010
To: Board of Directors
From: Richard Price, Fire Chief
Subject: Standards of Cover Amendment (Item 8.1)

Background:

Staff has been actively involved with Peer Team Leader Wayne Senter preparing for our presentation before the Commission on Fire Accreditation International (CFAI) next month in Chicago. It has been determined that Goal 3 of our adopted Standards of Cover (SOC) needs amendment prior to the hearing.

The following change is recommended to keep our SOC document consistent with the CFAI Fire & Emergency Services Self-Assessment Manual (7th Ed.) and the CFAI Standards of Cover Manual (5th Ed.) guidelines.

Goal #3
Distribution of Fire Stations for Rural Areas of Less than 1,000 People per Square Mile

Current
The first-due fire unit should arrive within 17 minutes total response time, 90 percent of the time.

Recommended
The first-due fire unit should arrive within 15 minutes total response time, 90 percent of the time.

Recommendation:

Accept new goal language as proposed.
MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010
To: Board of Directors
From: Steven J. Hart, Assistant Fire Chief, Support Services
Subject: Preliminary Conceptual Fire Training Center Design

Background:

At the April 16, 2010 Special Board of Directors meeting, staff was given direction to enter into a lease/option land agreement with the developers of the proposed New Farm subdivision in the Tassajara Valley for the purpose of securing property for a future training facility.

A requirement of the agreement was to prepare and submit preliminary conceptual design documents within the New Farm development application to the Contra Costa County Department of Conservation and Development by July 12, 2010.

In light of this abbreviated time frame, District staff contacted two well known architectural firms that specialize in fire training facilities – WLC Architects and RRM Design Group. Both firms presented information regarding their approach to the project as well as cost proposals to a committee comprised of our Assistant Chiefs, Battalion Chiefs, and Training Division Captains. Both cost and design concepts were evaluated and WLC Architects was selected.

Attached to this report is the conceptual design that was submitted with the New Farm Project to the County on July 12, 2010.

Recommendation:

Accept report.
TRAINING TOWER FEATURES

- VARIETY OF ROOM SIZE, SHAPES AND CONFIGURATIONS
- BURN ROOM WITH REFRACTORY Tiles
- ROOF VENTILATION PROP - FLAT AND SLOPED ROOF
- FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEM PROP
- ARTIFICIAL SMOKE SYSTEM
- REPPELLING - THROUGH VARIOUS SIZE WINDOW OPENINGS
- REPPELLING - OVER CANTILEVER ROOFTOP LEDGE
- FIVE STORY INTERIOR STAIRWAY
- FIVE STORY EXTERIOR STAIRWAY
- TWO STORY INTERIOR ATRIUM SPACE
- VARIOUS ROOM LAYOUTS SIMULATING DIFFERENT BUILDING TYPES (APARTMENT, RETAIL, OFFICE, GARDEN TOP)
- 2 STORY RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURE PROP
- FLASH OVER CONTAINER
- CLEARANCE AROUND ALL 4 SIDES FOR DRIVERS TRAINING

SAN RAMON VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TRAINING FACILITY

THE NEW FARM PROJECT

Contra Costa County, CA
MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010
To: Board of Directors
From: Richard Price, Fire Chief
Subject: Fire Service Award for Excellence

The District is one of seven finalists in the prestigious International Association of Fire Chiefs (IAFC) US Safety Engineering Award for Excellence program. This award recognizes innovations and achievements in managing resources to reduce the loss of life and property from fire and other emergencies. The District’s focus on comprehensive records management and accountability – specifically its Information-led Management (ILM) initiative – is the reason for the selection and recognition. The letter informing us of this honor is attached to this report for your review.

ILM is a District-pioneered framework that defines the organizational processes necessary to ensure that statistics in key organizational areas are collected, compiled and made available to managers and supervisors in time for effective use.

ILM emphasizes high accountability at all organizational levels; reinforces a culture of quality through an accountability system that provides relevant, accurate and timely work product information (response time metrics, controlled substance accountability, hydrant inspections, preplan activity, fleet status, training records, etc.); educates managers and others how to effectively use compiled statistics; and establishes performance benchmarks that translate into organizational improvement.

For 30 years, the US Safety Engineering Award for Excellence has received nominations of programs that are dedicated to improving fire agencies service to their communities. In writing about the program, Chief Ronny J. Coleman, one of the program’s founders, commented that “while the awards have been given for innovation and creativity, the programs’ benefits are all within the grasp of any fire organization to emulate and improve upon.”

The IAFC has requested a representative from the District be present at the IAFC Annual Conference in Chicago in August to participate in the award ceremony (Fire Rescue International, 8/24-28/2010). As you are aware, a District contingent will be attending the conference to participate in the accreditation hearings. Each program that is selected as a finalist receives $500. The top award winner receives $2,000. In 2008 the District was also a finalist for our Pre-incident Aerial Survey program.
July 20, 2010

GREETINGS: CONGRATULATIONS!

Your application for recognition by the IAFC – US Safety Engineering Award for Excellence has been selected as one of the seven finalists. Please accept our congratulations for receiving this auspicious recognition.

The finalists have been forwarded to the Past Presidents of the IAFC who serve as the selection committee to pick the top award winner. The IAFC will be recognizing the finalists during the ceremonies to be conducted at our annual conference. The conference this year will be held in Chicago.

You or a representative of your organization is invited to participate in this internationally recognized award ceremony.

You are welcome to issue any press release that you might wish to do in the local community notifying those that you serve, that you have been selected as one of the finalists. If you chose to do so, it would be greatly appreciated if you would send a copy of that press release to me at 8866 Saint Anthony Court, Elk Grove, CA 95624.

Please notify us as soon as possible as to who your representative will be so that we can coordinate their presence at the award ceremony. Please send it via fax to (916) 686-5266 within the next two weeks. Please be advised that we are unable to allow more than one person to receive the award for any finalist. Therefore, there can only be one person allowed on stage during the ceremony. In the event that it is a multiple agency entry, one person from each agency is allowed. It would be greatly appreciated if you would be attired either in departmental uniform or business suit due to the fact that there are a large number of photos that are taken at this event.

I hope you are pleased in receiving this recognition. You are joining the ranks of a group of highly creative and innovative contributors to the International Fire Service. I look forward to seeing you off stage prior to the award ceremony in Chicago.

Sincerely,

Ronny J. Coleman
Judging Coordinator

cc: Wayne Boyd
Billy Cox
Christine Booth
CORRESPONDENCE
July 13, 2010

Richard Price, Chief
San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District
1500 Bollinger Canyon Road
San Ramon, California 94583

Dear Richard:

I want to acknowledge Fleet Mechanics Dan Rhodes and Rick Nogueira for their recent repair of Mount Diablo State Park’s Engine 459. Park staff had some mechanical issues with the engine due to our failure to properly winterize the pumper unit during the off-season. Please pass on my appreciation to Dan and Rick for their time and efforts.

Your agency’s commitment and contributions to the operations of Mount Diablo State Park are greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Carl Nielson
State Park Peace Officer
July 6, 2010

Mr. Richard Price
1500 Bollinger Canyon Rd.
San Ramon, CA 94583

Dear Mr. Price,

On behalf of Save Mount Diablo and the Diablo Trail Endurance Ride steering committee, I would like to extend a big thank-you to you and your staff, especially Alan Gwiazdon, for all of your help with our event on June 25-26!

Save Mount Diablo is able to do the work that we do because we have so many hard-working and dedicated partners like you in the community. The Endurance Ride was a big success and raised funds to help us continue our work to protect the natural beauty, integrity, and biological diversity of Mount Diablo and its foothills and to enhance our area’s quality of life.

We look forward to working together again soon. Thanks again!

Sincerely,

Ron Brown
Executive Director

Proud member of

Land Trust Alliance
CALIFORNIA COUNCIL OF LAND TRUSTS
BAY AREA OPEN SPACE COUNCIL
Thomas A. Driscoll

7 July 2010

Susan Brooks
District Clerk
1500 Bollinger Canyon Rd
San Ramon, CA

Reference: Exceptional Paramedic Response – Date 6 July 2010

Hello Susan,

I would like to write this letter in response of my receiving exceptional care and response time to a medical call from Fire Station 35 in Blackhawk.

My call was in regard to my second chemo treatment which created an adverse reaction which required an emergency call for rapid assistance. At the time, from a pain threshold of one to ten, this was a nine and felt like the beginning of a heart attack; the emergency response team who responded were:

- Captain John Leonard
- Engineer Bryan Shafer
- Paramedic Fire Fighter Grant Sparkes

The paramedic team arrived swiftly and provided professional and exceptional care to me which helped to calm the symptoms (we had no idea it was not a heart attack before their arrival) and focused on my vitals.

Beyond their professional and timely assistance was the personal touch and care they provided that I found outstanding and lacking in many of the global businesses’ that I am responsible for. This one act of “we are here for you” which they repeated over and over, “don’t worry we will figure this out”, as simple as these statements may read here don’t come close to explaining how comforting it was to be in their care.

To conclude, these Fire Fighters went above and beyond in their response and compassion and their dedication is deeply appreciated.

Kind and Warm Regards,

Thom
June 18, 2010

San Ramon Valley Fire District
1500 Bollinger Canyon Rd
San Ramon CA 94583

Dear Friends,

Thank you for your support of STAND! Against Domestic Violence. Your donation of cell phones valued at $200.00 on 5/24/2010 helps support our programs. We are grateful for the commitment you have made to STAND!'s mission and the families we serve.

Each year, STAND! answers over 11,000 calls on our crisis line. Hundreds of families find peace and sanctuary through STAND!'s Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing program. During thousands of hours of counseling each year, countless women and children begin the journey to wholeness and healing. Community support like yours helps to make what we do possible. Every day, your support provides hope, renewal and new beginnings.

Thank you again for your support of STAND!. You have truly made a difference in the lives of the families we serve.

All best,

Michelle Davis
Director of Development and Marketing

P.S. Please retain this letter for your tax records to fulfill IRS substantiation requirements that must be met in order to deduct your contribution. Our tax identification number is 94-2476576. No material benefits were provided to you by STAND! in return for this contribution.
June 9th, 2010

San Ramon Valley Fire and Protection District

Dear Rich,

The Alcosta Senior and Community Center Staff would like to extend our gratitude to you and the rest of the San Ramon Valley Fire and Protection District for coming and serving breakfast at our annual Resource Fair. We cannot express to you enough the joy the senior's and their families obtained from attending the event; we received all positive reviews raving about how you and your fellow volunteers were wonderful! The senior's were all very impressed with the hard work that was put forth in making the event memorable and fabulous. The breakfast was absolutely delicious.

The Saturday morning event saw over 300+ participants at this year’s Resource Fair. We have you to thank for helping put smiles on the faces, and the appetizing food in the hands of our seniors!

Your participation is greatly appreciated, from all of us at the City of San Ramon Senior Center, “Thank you!”

Sincerely,

Steve Cox
Recreation Coordinator
City of San Ramon
Parks and Community Services
scox@sanramon.ca.gov
(925) 973-3253
Assistant Chief Steve Hart  
San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District  

June 21, 2010

Chief Hart,

We wanted to express our thanks to you and your team for all of the help in generating the latest Pre-Incident Aerial Survey books. As you know, this project started with just a few critical locations and has now grown to over 340 specific sites. This daunting task would not have been possible without the assistance of many team members at the San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District.

We would like to particularly thank Erik Kennelly and Stacy Rowan, not only for their tireless efforts on this latest binder, but for their help, guidance and assistance throughout the project. Their advice and counsel and diligent efforts in ensuring that the Pre-Plans, CAD and dispatch databases all match, are immeasurable.

Erik has served as our interface to Operations, Fire Prevention and Support services and also serves as a key team member with the Emergency Preparedness teams at the Town of Danville and the City of San Ramon. He has provided us with several tools including a hydrant map, ARCGIS maps and access to aerial images from the county database. All of which help us deliver a higher quality product.

Stacy has been instrumental in reviewing all of the pre-plans as well as the binder index prior to printing. She compares the CAD system with the dispatch database and the Pre-Plans and has reported several discrepancies to us for resolution.

The efforts of your team have allowed us to constantly improve our product, not only to the San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District, but to other clients as well.

Thanks again for all of the support and assistance. You have assembled a great team.

Brian Haux  
SkyHawk Photography
June 17, 2010

Denise Pangelinan
San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District
1500 Bollinger Canyon Road
San Ramon, CA 94583

Dear Denise,

We are grateful for your support of Children’s Hospital & Research Center Oakland—a nationally-recognized pediatric hospital focused 100 percent on kids. Your support for the 33rd annual Workday Devil Mountain Run, in operating the communications center, benefits countless children, helping us raise more than $130,000.

As we look ahead to Children’s 100th anniversary in 2012, we remain committed to providing exemplary pediatric specialty care to all our young patients. Whether delivering expert, compassionate clinical services in a family-centered medical center, developing breakthrough preventions and treatments for critical illnesses, or educating the next generation of pediatric healthcare professionals, our success depends on a lasting partnership with friends like you.

You have placed your trust in a remarkable organization. Thank you for helping realize our extraordinary mission.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jessica Diamond
Special Events Manager
Children’s Hospital & Research Center Foundation
OPERATIONS
MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010

To: Board of Directors

From: Bryan Collins – Assistant Chief, Operations

Subject: Monthly Activity Report

Attached is the Operations report for the month of June, 2010.
Standards of Cover Policy Compliance Report
June 1, 2010 - June 30, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOC Goal 1, 5, 6</th>
<th>SOC Goal 2, 5, 6</th>
<th>SOC Goal 3, 5, 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban (Count = 233)</td>
<td>Suburban (Count = 91)</td>
<td>Rural (Count = 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Y-T-D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00</td>
<td>7:31</td>
<td>7:47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOC Goal 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urban (Count = 1)</th>
<th>Suburban (Count = 0)</th>
<th>Rural (Count = 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Y-T-D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>12:12</td>
<td>21:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOC Goal 7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Call Processing Time</th>
<th>Turnout Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1:57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Goal 1**

_Distribution of Fire Stations for Built-up Urban Areas of Greater than 2,000 People per Square Mile_

To treat and transport medical patients and control small fires, the first-due unit should arrive within 7 minutes total response time, 90 percent of the time from the receipt of the call in fire dispatch. Total response time equates to 1 minute dispatch time, 2 minute crew turnout time and 4 minutes travel time spacing for single units.

**Goal 2**

_Distribution of Fire Stations for Suburban Areas of 1,000 to 2,000 People per Square Mile_

The first-due fire unit should arrive within 8 minutes total response time, 90 percent of the time.

**Goal 3**

_Distribution of Fire Stations for Rural Areas of Less than 1,000 People per Square Mile_

The first-due fire unit should arrive within 17 minutes total response time, 90 percent of the time.
Goal 4
Effective Response Force (First Alarm) for Urban Areas of Greater than 2,000 People per Square Mile
To confine fires near the room of origin, to stop wildfires less than 5 acres in size when noticed promptly, and to treat up to 5 medical patients at once, a multiple-unit response of at least 18 personnel should arrive within 11 minutes total response time from the time of 911 call receipt, 90 percent of the time. This equates to 1 minute dispatch time, 2 minutes crew turnout time and 8 minutes travel time spacing for multiple units. Suburban areas should receive the full first alarm within 12 minutes total response time, 90 percent of the time with the goal to limit the fire spread to the area already involved upon the arrival of the effective response force. For rural areas, this should be 21 minutes, 90 percent of the time. Outcome goals in these areas would be to confine fires to the building of origin, to care for medical patients upon arrival, and to initiate operations on serious wildland fires.

Goal 5
Hazardous Materials Response
Respond to hazardous materials emergencies with enough trained personnel to protect the community from the hazards associated with the release of hazardous and toxic materials. Achieve a total response time consistent with Goal 1, Goal 2 and Goal 3 with the first company capable of operating at the California OSHA First Responder Operations (FRO) level. After size-up and scene evaluation is complete a determination will be made whether to request the on-duty District Hazardous Materials Team and/or other appropriate resources.

Goal 6
Technical Rescue
Respond to technical rescue emergencies with enough trained personnel to facilitate a successful rescue. Achieve a total response time consistent with Goal 1, Goal 2 and Goal 3 with the first company capable of operating at the California Rescue System 1 (RS1) level. After size-up and scene evaluation is complete a determination will be made whether to request the on-duty District Rescue Team and/or other appropriate resources.

Goal 7
Call processing and turnout times
A concentrated focus will be placed on systems, training and feedback measures to crews to lower dispatch and turnout time reflex measures to national best practices of 1 minute for dispatch and 2 minutes for fire crew turnout, 90 percent of the time.
SUPPORT SERVICES
MEMORANDUM

Date:    July 28, 2010
To:      Board of Directors
From:    Steven J. Hart – Assistant Chief, Support Services
Subject: Monthly Activity Report

Attached is the Support Services report for the month of June, 2010.
Support Services
June, 2010

Facilities

1. Station 36 Annex: Expected substantial completion due 2nd week of August.
2. Station 32: Environmental review consultant being re evaluated.
3. Training Site: WLC Architects selected – Schematic design completed.

Fleet

1. Annual pump testing in progress at LPTC completed.
2. Annual Engine / Truck services in progress.
3. Mechanics meeting at Hi Tech June 22: Electrical Systems

Communication Center

1. Dispatcher Whitney McDonough released from training and assigned to “A” Shift.
2. Communications Support Unit and Volunteers participated in Wildland 2010 training exercise.
3. Emergency Medical Dispatch (EMD) Training conducted at the Communications Center.

Information & Technology

1. Dispatch – Upgraded Smart 911 Server and Client Software.
2. Dispatch – Upgraded Priority Dispatch software.
3. Installed Dell all-in-one printers at all fire stations.

Current Projects

1. Coordinated bids for various budgeted projects.
2. Working through Fiscal Year 09/10 budgeted projects.
3. New landscape service hired for Administration – RFP in progress. (Legal Notice to be published July 1).
4. Attended required DSRSD Recycled Water Training Session.
5. Commenced Annual Generator Maintenance and Load Testing at all Stations and Admin.
6. District Aides assisted FP with “Heart Safe CPR Saturday”.
7. District Aides assisted FP with Wildland 2010 Project.
MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010

To: Board of Directors

From: Christina Jamison, Fire Marshal

Subject: Monthly Activity Report

Attached are the Fire Prevention Reports for the month of June, 2010.
Fire Prevention Summary of Monthly Activities

June 2010

What Went Well

Fourth of July Activities
Code Compliance Officer Wendel and Fire Specialist Castro patrolled neighborhood areas and confiscated illegal fireworks. Deputy Fire Marshal Stevens and Plans Examiner Hardage provided inspection services and fire safety standby at the City of San Ramon public fireworks display.

Exterior Hazard Abatement
Fire Prevention staff completed approximately 1000 inspections and investigated 27 complaints.

Personal Emergency Preparedness
Fire Specialist Castro and Code Compliance Officer Vanek presented the Personal Emergency Preparedness class to 65 students at Del Amigo High School in Danville.

Fee Study - Fire Prevention Services
Fire Inspector Bartusch led the effort to complete a comprehensive fee study in cooperation with the Matrix Consulting Group. The Fee Study was presented to the District Finance Sub-Committee on July 16, 2010. The Fee Study provided a tool for understanding current service levels, the cost and demand for those services, and what fees for service could be charge in accordance with state law. Prevention staff will continue the process of analysis based on the information provided and present a recommendation for implementation prior to the end of the year.

Fire Investigation

Incident 10-3558, 2978 Deer Meadow Drive, Danville
On June 22, 2010 Fire Investigator Bartusch responded to a residential structure fire at approximately 2330 hours. There was one occupant reported deceased and one occupant that sustained injury. The investigation was assisted by; Contra Costa County Fire, ATF, Santa Clara County Fire with K9 Rosic, SRM Investigator Kurtz and SRM Investigator Stevens. The fire cause and origin investigation is not complete at this time.

Incident 10-3624, 17 Sky Terrace, Danville
On June 25, 2010 at approximately 1430 hours, Fire Investigator Stevens responded to a residential structure fire located at 17 Sky Terrace in Danville. There were no reported injuries. The origin of the fire was in the area of the refrigerator in the kitchen, the cause is undetermined.

Incident 10-3831, 2657 Paige Way, San Ramon
On July 4, 2010 at approximately 1930 hours, Fire Marshal Jamison responded to a residential structure fire located at 2657 Paige Way in San Ramon. There were no reported injuries. The origin of the fire was in the area of the waste receptacles outside of the structure, the probable cause is likely discarded ashes from a charcoal barbecue.
Fire Prevention Summary of Monthly Activities
June 2010

Potential Issues
Compliance inspections for properties within the Exterior Hazard Abatement Program continue.

Committee Meetings and Training Attended
- Evitt, Stevens- SRVFDP Safety Committee
- Castro-Nor Cal FPO Public Education Committee
- Bartusch- Wellness Fitness Program Committee
- Bell, C8 Committee Meetings
- Hardage, Nor Cal FPO Fire Code Committee
- Evitt, Nor Cal FPO Building Code Committee

Upcoming Public Education Classes and Events Scheduled

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hot Summer Nights--Danville</td>
<td>Downtown, Danville</td>
<td>July 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Night Out (with San Ramon PD)</td>
<td>San Ramon Neighborhoods</td>
<td>August 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Emergency Preparedness</td>
<td>Administration Building</td>
<td>August 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Summer Nights--Danville</td>
<td>Downtown, Danville</td>
<td>August 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#31 CERT Class</td>
<td>Administration Building</td>
<td>Sept 9-October 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Preparedness Fair</td>
<td>LDS Church, Danville</td>
<td>Sept 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plan Reviews Completed
See below for a list of plan reviews completed in June.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Review Address</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Reviewer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week of 6/1/2010-6/4/2010</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2305 Camino Ramon #270</td>
<td>Sprinkler TI</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2305 Camino Ramon #270</td>
<td>Alarm TI</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2305 Camino Ramon #270</td>
<td>TI Group B Offices</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Sky Terrace</td>
<td>Gate Across Fire Access</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31110 Crow Canyon Pl. Suite D</td>
<td>Hood &amp; Duct</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11000 Crow Canyon Rd. Suite A</td>
<td>Sprinkler TI</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327 Pheasant Run Dr.</td>
<td>Sprinkler Residential</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Railroad Ave.</td>
<td>Tenant Improvement</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107 Santa Clara Dr.</td>
<td>Photovoltaic System</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86 La Pera Ct.</td>
<td>Photovoltaic System</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>889 El Cerro Blvd.</td>
<td>Photovoltaic System</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7352 Sedgefield Ave.</td>
<td>Misc. Planning Application</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2600 Camino Ramon</td>
<td>TI Group B Offices</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000 Executive Pkwy #300</td>
<td>TI Group B Offices</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Crow Canyon Pl.</td>
<td>Sprinkler TI</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 Bollinger Canyon Rd.</td>
<td>Misc. Planning Application</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2527 Camino Ramon</td>
<td>TI Group B Offices</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week of 6/7/2010-6/11/2010</strong></td>
<td>Aboveground Flammable</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148 E. Prospect Ave.</td>
<td>or Combustible Liquid</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 Alta Vista Way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week of 6/14/2010-6/18/2010</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 Crow Canyon Pl.</td>
<td>Sprinkler TI</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>RE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21001 SRV Blvd. #C3</td>
<td>Photovoltaic System</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>JB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 Pennington Pl.</td>
<td>TI Group B Offices</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>RW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4050 Blackhawk Plaza Cir. #A6</td>
<td>Hood &amp; Duct</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>RE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week of 6/21/2010-6/25/2010</strong></td>
<td>Photovoltaic System</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>DV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400 Crow Canyon Rd.</td>
<td>Hood &amp; Duct</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Trish Ct.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2032 Longleaf Cir.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12677 Alcosta Blvd. #350</td>
<td>Sprinkler Monitoring</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12667 Alcosta Blvd. #350</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>JB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12667 Alcosta Blvd. #350</td>
<td>Photovoltaic System</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000 Executive Pkwy #300</td>
<td>Sprinkler TI</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12677 Alcosta Blvd. #200</td>
<td>TI Group B Offices</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>RE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12677 Alcosta Blvd. #200</td>
<td>Sprinkler TI</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>RE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12677 Alcosta Blvd. #200</td>
<td>Alarm TI</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>RE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Vista Ln.</td>
<td>TI Group B Offices</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>RE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gale Ranch Phase 3 RA 1246</td>
<td>R-3 Occupancy</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement Plan</td>
<td>Resubmittal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9768 Broadmoor Dr.
11030 Bollinger Canyon Rd. #150-160

Week of 6/28/2010-6/30/2010
54 Vista Ln.

2527 Camino Ramon

2527 Camino Ramon

2527 Camino Ramon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Extinguishing</th>
<th>Approved</th>
<th>IH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-3 Occupancy</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resubmittal</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alarm System</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resubmittal</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI Group B Offices</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resubmittal</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprinkler TI</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>IH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2010

To: Board of Directors

From: Robert Leete – Administrative Services Director

Subject: Monthly Activity Reports

Attached are the Administrative Services Department reports for the month of June, 2010.
Finance:

Financials
- Balance Sheet (June 30, 2010)
- Revenue/Expense History
- Statement of Expenditures
- Revenues: Budget vs Actual
- Expenses: Budget vs Actual
- General Fund Expenditures
- General Fund Revenues
- Capital & Equipment/Vehicle Fund
- Total Overtime
- Staffing/Overtime Analysis

Meetings/Activities:

Finance:

Completed 2010-2011 Annual Operating Budget.
Presented financial update at the Citizens Corp Council Policy Advisory Committee Meeting.
Completed interim phase of annual audit.
Assisted with travel request review for FY 2010-11.

Human Resources:

Finalize Captain Exam/Eligibility List.
Finish EMT Live Scan Process.
Completed Excess Workers’ Compensation Insurance Renewal.

Employee Illness/Injury Report for June 2010

Reportable Injuries – June 2010:

1. June 1, 2010. A Firefighter/Paramedic strained their abdomen and back pushing open a door of an engine. Lost Time: 216 hours.

Note: As of June 30, 2010, there were three (3) employees absent from their regular work assignment. Lost time related to prior month/year injuries totaled 240 hours.
Total Overtime Hours by Month
June 2007 - June 2010
### June 2010 Staffing/Overtime Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Staffing OT $</th>
<th>Staffing OT Hrs</th>
<th>Staffing OT FTE</th>
<th>Paid FTE</th>
<th>Total Effective FTE</th>
<th>See Note 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACTUAL JUNE RESULTS</strong></td>
<td>$ 308,817</td>
<td>6,769.75</td>
<td>27.90</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>157.90</td>
<td>22.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROJECTED JUNE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HIRING IN PLACE OF OVERTIME</strong></td>
<td>$ 477,163</td>
<td>6,769.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET SAVINGS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OVERTIME IN PLACE OF HIRING</strong></td>
<td>$ 168,346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note 1:** This figure represents the percentage of time necessary to backfill regularly staffed positions for the month. This amount will routinely include the following components:

--The variance of regular, full-time paid employees either above or below the optimal 129 FTEs based upon the 10% hiring model  
--Vacation Leave  
--Sick Leave  
--Disability Leave  
--Various (jury duty, station moves, medic coverage, etc.)

**Current 10% Hiring Model = 129 FTEs**
### Overtime Summary Report

**6/1/2010 Through 6/30/2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORK CODE</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Time Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>STAFFING</td>
<td>5,407.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TRAINING</td>
<td>1,566.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ASSIGNMENTS</td>
<td>262.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>EMERGENCY</td>
<td>59.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Report Grand Total:** 7,295.25
WORK CODE: 1 STAFFING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSIGNMENT</th>
<th>Time Worked</th>
<th>Time Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101 HOLD OVER FOR CALL</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103 MISC. STAFFING COVE</td>
<td>5381.25</td>
<td>5382.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107 LATE/STA. MOVE COVE</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>17.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199 MID SHIFT RECALL</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total All Assignments This Work Type: 5,399.49  5,407.00

WORK CODE: 2 TRAINING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSIGNMENT</th>
<th>Time Worked</th>
<th>Time Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>201 OPERATIONS TRAINING</td>
<td>1045.91</td>
<td>1047.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202 ADMIN SKILLS TRAINI</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203 FIRST AID / CPR</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209 RESCUE TRAINING</td>
<td>229.00</td>
<td>229.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210 HAZ-MAT TRAINING</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214 PARAMEDIC - CONT ED</td>
<td>34.50</td>
<td>34.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216 EMD/DISPATCH TRAINI</td>
<td>153.00</td>
<td>153.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total All Assignments This Work Type: 1,565.16  1,566.25
WORK CODE: 3  ASSIGNMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSIGNMENT</th>
<th>Time Worked</th>
<th>Time Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>301 MEETINGS/PROJECT DE</td>
<td>35.92</td>
<td>36.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302 OFC WORK/REPORT WR</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305 MAPPING</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306 WELLNESS PROGRAM</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>12.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314 RED FLAG DAY</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>48.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317 RECRUITMENT EXTER</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320 PUBLIC EVENTS</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>26.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340 PROJECT WORK</td>
<td>105.98</td>
<td>106.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total All Assignments This Work Type: 261.57  262.75

WORK CODE: 7  EMERGENCY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSIGNMENT</th>
<th>Time Worked</th>
<th>Time Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>700 EMERGENCY RECALL</td>
<td>43.27</td>
<td>45.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720 FIRE INVESTIGATION</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total All Assignments This Work Type: 56.42  59.25

Report Grand Total: 7,282.64  7,295.25
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAND</td>
<td>6103</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$229,000</td>
<td>($229,000)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN/CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>6105/6110</td>
<td>$243,154</td>
<td>$3,600,874</td>
<td>$5,223,568</td>
<td>$3,070,000</td>
<td>$1,917,757</td>
<td>$1,552,243</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VARIOUS IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td>6120</td>
<td>$188,784</td>
<td>$88,804</td>
<td>$88,804</td>
<td>$516,300</td>
<td>$224,488</td>
<td>$291,812</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECTS (FUND 300)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$431,948</td>
<td>$3,695,868</td>
<td>$5,310,455</td>
<td>$3,591,300</td>
<td>$2,392,246</td>
<td>$1,199,054</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICE EQUIP &amp; FURNISHINGS</td>
<td>6210</td>
<td>$5,086</td>
<td>$225,571</td>
<td>$12,710</td>
<td>$18,100</td>
<td>$11,905</td>
<td>$6,195</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL/LAB EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>6230</td>
<td>$3,361</td>
<td>$148,953</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$8,700</td>
<td>$11,397</td>
<td>($3,537)</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADIO/ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>6230</td>
<td>$87,768</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$229,406</td>
<td>$417,000</td>
<td>$547,764</td>
<td>$389,239</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOOLS &amp; SUPPLY EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>6240</td>
<td>$125,012</td>
<td>$226,541</td>
<td>$238,435</td>
<td>$273,000</td>
<td>$204,987</td>
<td>$58,013</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTO &amp; TRUCKS</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>$3,298,551</td>
<td>$1,119</td>
<td>$45,305</td>
<td>$3,828,500</td>
<td>$465,031</td>
<td>$3,363,469</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EQUIPMENT/VEHICLES (FUND 600)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,736,920</td>
<td>$426,945</td>
<td>$327,009</td>
<td>$4,644,600</td>
<td>$914,084</td>
<td>$3,603,516</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOND REDEMPTION - 2003 Refunding COP &amp; 2006 COP</td>
<td>5210</td>
<td>$1,038,808</td>
<td>$1,329,026</td>
<td>$1,328,704</td>
<td>$1,230,970</td>
<td>$1,230,970</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEHICLE LEASE #1</td>
<td>5310</td>
<td>$75,921</td>
<td>$75,921</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEHICLE LEASE #2</td>
<td>5310</td>
<td>$329,497</td>
<td>$329,497</td>
<td>$329,497</td>
<td>$329,497</td>
<td>$329,497</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEHICLE LEASE #3</td>
<td>5310</td>
<td>$756,033</td>
<td>$756,033</td>
<td>$756,033</td>
<td>$756,033</td>
<td>$756,033</td>
<td>($1)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL DEBT SERVICE (FUND 200)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,260,259</td>
<td>$2,491,413</td>
<td>$2,414,234</td>
<td>$2,416,509</td>
<td>$3,416,509</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERVICES &amp; SUPPLIES</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>$15,329</td>
<td>$30,641</td>
<td>$22,243</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$43,657</td>
<td>$16,343</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL AGENCY FUND (FUND 700)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,329</td>
<td>$30,641</td>
<td>$22,243</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$43,657</td>
<td>$16,343</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL - CAPITAL, EQUIP, DEBT &amp; AGENCY</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,444,456</td>
<td>$6,644,867</td>
<td>$8,073,941</td>
<td>$10,612,409</td>
<td>$5,793,496</td>
<td>$4,818,913</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES

#### ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>General Fund - 100</th>
<th>Debt Service Fund - 200</th>
<th>Capital Projects Fund - 300</th>
<th>Equipment/VEHICLES Fund - 600</th>
<th>CERT PROGRAM Fund - 700</th>
<th>General Fixed Assets Fund - 800</th>
<th>Long-Term Debt Fund - 900</th>
<th>Totals Memo Only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash - Union Bank of California</td>
<td>$375,480</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$171,157</td>
<td>$33,204</td>
<td>$33,051</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$612,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash - Union Bank of California-Prem. @ 0.10%</td>
<td>$4,190,432</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,190,432</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash - UBC Workers' Compensation</td>
<td>(16,604)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash - Comerica-Flex Spending</td>
<td>5,485</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty Cash</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments - LAIF @ 0.56%</td>
<td>33,065,719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33,065,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash with Fiscal Agent (Note #1)</td>
<td>1,330,673</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,330,673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>129,764</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>129,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Receivable</td>
<td>45,815</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid Expenses/Deposits</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,105,802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings &amp; Improvements/Construction</td>
<td>24,333,163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24,333,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>23,674,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23,674,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated Depreciation</td>
<td>(24,382,420)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(24,382,420)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount to be Provided for General Long Term Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$37,795,491</td>
<td>$1,330,673</td>
<td>$171,157</td>
<td>$33,204</td>
<td>$33,051</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$40,241,696</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### LIABILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>General Fund - 100</th>
<th>Debt Service Fund - 200</th>
<th>Capital Projects Fund - 300</th>
<th>Equipment/VEHICLES Fund - 600</th>
<th>CERT PROGRAM Fund - 700</th>
<th>General Fixed Assets Fund - 800</th>
<th>Long-Term Debt Fund - 900</th>
<th>Totals Memo Only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>$1,421,406</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$171,157</td>
<td>$33,204</td>
<td>$1,421</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$1,627,188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued Expenses</td>
<td>1,049,646</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,049,646</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits Payable</td>
<td>2,286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term Debt:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Certificates of Participation</td>
<td>15,065,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,065,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Vehicle Lease</td>
<td>2,054,366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,054,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims Payable</td>
<td>1,354,396</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,354,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensated Absences</td>
<td>1,850,790</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,850,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>3,432,885</td>
<td></td>
<td>$171,157</td>
<td>$33,204</td>
<td>$1,421</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>23,963,219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### FUND EQUITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>General Fund - 100</th>
<th>Debt Service Fund - 200</th>
<th>Capital Projects Fund - 300</th>
<th>Equipment/VEHICLES Fund - 600</th>
<th>CERT PROGRAM Fund - 700</th>
<th>General Fixed Assets Fund - 800</th>
<th>Long-Term Debt Fund - 900</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment in General Fixed Assets</td>
<td>30,730,845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved for Debt Service</td>
<td>1,330,673</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated for Workers' Compensation</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated for Dry Spell (Cash Flow)</td>
<td>22,326,856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undesignated</td>
<td>11,035,750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fund Balance</td>
<td>34,362,606</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,330,673</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34,362,606</td>
<td>30,730,845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Total Liabilities and Fund Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>General Fund - 100</th>
<th>Debt Service Fund - 200</th>
<th>Capital Projects Fund - 300</th>
<th>Equipment/VEHICLES Fund - 600</th>
<th>CERT PROGRAM Fund - 700</th>
<th>General Fixed Assets Fund - 800</th>
<th>Long-Term Debt Fund - 900</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>$37,795,491</td>
<td>$1,330,673</td>
<td>$171,157</td>
<td>$33,204</td>
<td>$35,774</td>
<td>$30,730,845</td>
<td>$20,324,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Equity</td>
<td>$90,421,696</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1 - US Bank:
- Reserve Fund (COP 2003) Market Value $730,692, Interest Rate 0.20% (Money Market Fund)
- Lease Fund (COP 2003) Market Value $5, Interest Rate 0.20% (Money Market Fund)
- Reserve Fund (COP 2006) Market Value $599,963, Interest Rate 0.20% (Money Market Fund)
- Lease Fund (COP 2006) Market Value $13, Interest Rate 0.23% (Money Market Fund)
## SAN RAMON VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
### REVENUE/EXPENDITURE HISTORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>198,493</td>
<td>3,700,845</td>
<td>220,615</td>
<td>4,259,268</td>
<td>206,857</td>
<td>4,051,393</td>
<td>409,494</td>
<td>4,731,355</td>
<td>247,304</td>
<td>4,758,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>201,004</td>
<td>2,970,815</td>
<td>296,654</td>
<td>3,274,027</td>
<td>309,023</td>
<td>3,934,582</td>
<td>215,934</td>
<td>4,086,942</td>
<td>222,953</td>
<td>3,806,559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>1,558,737</td>
<td>3,202,398</td>
<td>542,471</td>
<td>3,557,605</td>
<td>1,640,500</td>
<td>4,066,860</td>
<td>2,483,697</td>
<td>4,137,431</td>
<td>1,955,619</td>
<td>3,664,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>176,335</td>
<td>3,236,093</td>
<td>1,689,992</td>
<td>3,549,374</td>
<td>312,547</td>
<td>3,648,147</td>
<td>165,281</td>
<td>4,058,659</td>
<td>228,442</td>
<td>3,778,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>22,459,669</td>
<td>3,290,276</td>
<td>24,981,792</td>
<td>3,622,509</td>
<td>24,595,524</td>
<td>3,757,596</td>
<td>27,327,550</td>
<td>4,162,810</td>
<td>26,486,066</td>
<td>4,293,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>180,566</td>
<td>3,069,591</td>
<td>408,114</td>
<td>3,286,521</td>
<td>3,484,808</td>
<td>4,039,456</td>
<td>437,643</td>
<td>4,026,715</td>
<td>2,231,614</td>
<td>3,813,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>190,286</td>
<td>3,464,287</td>
<td>272,420</td>
<td>3,519,689</td>
<td>154,599</td>
<td>4,573,322</td>
<td>234,858</td>
<td>4,284,220</td>
<td>253,553</td>
<td>3,865,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>9,890,976</td>
<td>3,173,650</td>
<td>619,867</td>
<td>3,487,574</td>
<td>219,862</td>
<td>4,638,451</td>
<td>358,150</td>
<td>4,551,611</td>
<td>263,343</td>
<td>4,094,246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>16,133,414</td>
<td>3,283,125</td>
<td>18,178,615</td>
<td>3,680,317</td>
<td>18,767,904</td>
<td>3,751,889</td>
<td>19,725,844</td>
<td>4,110,947</td>
<td>14,980,947</td>
<td>3,933,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>399,640</td>
<td>3,379,036</td>
<td>232,490</td>
<td>3,457,733</td>
<td>197,558</td>
<td>4,210,272</td>
<td>315,047</td>
<td>3,773,572</td>
<td>2,319,553</td>
<td>4,064,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>4,152,484</td>
<td>3,589,770</td>
<td>5,437,965</td>
<td>3,697,713</td>
<td>3,677,543</td>
<td>4,615,870</td>
<td>3,892,839</td>
<td>8,012,065</td>
<td>3,562,930</td>
<td>3,963,930</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SAN RAMON VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
### GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES
#### FISCAL YEAR 2009/2010
##### JULY 1, 2009 - JUNE 30, 2010 PRELIMINARY

### FISCAL YEAR COMPLETED - 100%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERMANENT SALARIES</td>
<td>$110</td>
<td>$14,509,215</td>
<td>$20,095,451</td>
<td>$22,450,215</td>
<td>$29,395,023</td>
<td>$30,706,972</td>
<td>$5,395,977</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREASURY SALARIES</td>
<td>$137</td>
<td>$315,000</td>
<td>$355,000</td>
<td>$525,000</td>
<td>$525,000</td>
<td>$525,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERMANENT OVERTIME</td>
<td>$120</td>
<td>$2,748,451</td>
<td>$5,011,204</td>
<td>$5,126,876</td>
<td>$4,071,766</td>
<td>$4,597,107</td>
<td>$525,341</td>
<td>112.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPENSATION</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$303,468</td>
<td>$367,385</td>
<td>$384,569</td>
<td>$325,915</td>
<td>$379,421</td>
<td>$63,506</td>
<td>116.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTIONS</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$10,246,542</td>
<td>$11,962,084</td>
<td>$12,611,117</td>
<td>$12,869,182</td>
<td>$11,664,408</td>
<td>$2,156,644</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYEE GROUP INSURANCE</td>
<td>$160</td>
<td>$1,318,279</td>
<td>$1,629,643</td>
<td>$1,537,064</td>
<td>$1,205,206</td>
<td>$1,346,521</td>
<td>$760,374</td>
<td>81.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETIREE HEALTH INSURANCE</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>$1,188,096</td>
<td>$1,214,355</td>
<td>$4,633,235</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
<td>$1,080,615</td>
<td>$319,085</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$102,000</td>
<td>$1,014</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$25,316</td>
<td>$24,316</td>
<td>100.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORKERS COMPENSATION INS.</td>
<td>$190</td>
<td>$282,900</td>
<td>$427,895</td>
<td>$501,711</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$333,538</td>
<td>$93,538</td>
<td>149.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$100</strong></td>
<td><strong>$38,227,789</strong></td>
<td><strong>$43,359,988</strong></td>
<td><strong>$49,091,784</strong></td>
<td><strong>$46,205,815</strong></td>
<td><strong>$44,024,840</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,181,038</strong></td>
<td><strong>95.2%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OFFICE SUPPLIES
- **Office Supplies** $52,893
- **Postage** $11,466
- **Telecommunications** $204,034
- **Utilities** $254,334
- **Small Tools/Equipment** $58,028
- **Miscellaneous Supplies** $12,852
- **Medical Supplies** $12,074
- **Firefighting Supplies** $173,015
- **Filing/Processing Supplies** $23,235
- **Computer Supplies** $133,249
- **Radio Equipment & Supplies** $104,414
- **Film Processing Supplies** $1,169
- **Food Supplies** $15,766
- **Safety/Health Supplies** $15,508
- **Non-Safety Health Supplies** $50,040
- **Household Supplies** $1,180
- **Central Garage - Repairs** $12,727
- **Central Garage - Maintenance** $12,727
- **Central Garage - Gas, Diesel & Oil** $162,456
- **Central Garage - Truck** $1,187
- **Central Garage - Manned Insp** $8,000
- **Wart Repairs - Equipment** $102,011
- **Wart Repairs - Radio & Electronic** $329,970
- **Wart Repairs - Building** $231,347
- **Wart Repairs - Grounds** $51,561
- **Rents & Leases - Equip Property** $77,118
- **Professional/Specialized Services** $675,433
- **Recruiting Costs** $60,281
- **Legal Services** $114,000
- **Medical Services** $70,000
- **Data Processing Services** $278
- **Communications Services** $1,069
- **Document Management Services** $2,177
- **Election Services** $6,267
- **Insurance Services** $574,076
- **Publication of Legal Notices** $964
- **Specialized Printing** $23,263
- **Memberships** $3,734
- **Educational Courses/Supplies** $175,574
- **Educational Assistance Program** $25,326
- **Public Educational Services** $17,502
- **Books & Periodicals** $17,740
- **Meetings/Travel Expenses** $74,517
- **Other** $286
- **Discounts** $191
- **Grant Pass-Through** $0

### TOTAL SERVICES AND SUPPLIES
- **Total Expenses** $4,784,606
  - **Office Supplies** $4,566,884
  - **Legal Services** $5,104,521
  - **Total Revenues** $5,296,521
  - **Total Expenses** $4,740,678
  - **Net Revenues** $555,843

### TOTAL GOV. OPERATING EXPENDITURES
- **Total Operating Expenses** $43,012,396
  - **Office Supplies** $49,020,972
  - **Legal Services** $4,276,355
  - **Total Revenues** $51,502,338
  - **Total Expenses** $48,765,485
  - **Net Revenues** $2,736,851

**Note:** Percentages and totals may not add up due to rounding.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4100</td>
<td>TAXES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4110</td>
<td>PROPERTY TAXES - CURRENT SECURED</td>
<td>$44,440,740</td>
<td>$48,301,244</td>
<td>$49,793,169</td>
<td>$51,275,860</td>
<td>$49,555,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4120</td>
<td>PROPERTY TAXES - SUPPLEMENTAL</td>
<td>$3,135,004</td>
<td>$1,277,576</td>
<td>$978,218</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$549,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4130</td>
<td>PROPERTY TAXES - UTILITIES (Unitary)</td>
<td>$609,146</td>
<td>$879,868</td>
<td>$710,531</td>
<td>$792,532</td>
<td>$760,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4140</td>
<td>PROPERTY TAXES - CURRENT UNSECURED</td>
<td>$1,389,523</td>
<td>$1,483,021</td>
<td>$1,593,264</td>
<td>$1,501,301</td>
<td>$1,322,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4145</td>
<td>HOMEOWNERS PROPERTY TAX RELIEF</td>
<td>$503,469</td>
<td>$502,776</td>
<td>$514,864</td>
<td>$262,895</td>
<td>$54,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4150</td>
<td>LESS TAXES RETURNED TO COUNTY</td>
<td>($1,202,437)</td>
<td>($1,598,586)</td>
<td>($1,369,586)</td>
<td>($1,605,344)</td>
<td>($1,412,663)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4160</td>
<td>LESS COUNTY TAX ADMINISTRATION</td>
<td>($346,184)</td>
<td>($482,517)</td>
<td>($596,983)</td>
<td>($579,490)</td>
<td>($547,166)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4170</td>
<td>PROPERTY TAXES - PRIOR SECURED</td>
<td>($13,609)</td>
<td>($411,770)</td>
<td>($6,574)</td>
<td>($10,000)</td>
<td>($393,032)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4180</td>
<td>PROPERTY TAXES - PRIOR SUPPLEMENTAL</td>
<td>($8,744)</td>
<td>($117,665)</td>
<td>($151,488)</td>
<td>($5,000)</td>
<td>($393,277)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4190</td>
<td>PROPERTY TAXES - PRIOR UNSECURED</td>
<td>$43,743</td>
<td>$34,661</td>
<td>$21,142</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4200</td>
<td>INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4220</td>
<td>MEASURE &quot;H&quot;</td>
<td>$182,227</td>
<td>$19,787</td>
<td>$19,787</td>
<td>$91,067</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4230</td>
<td>SR-90 MANDATED COSTS</td>
<td>$59,251</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$18,509</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$56,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4240</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS STATE AID/GRANTS</td>
<td>$467,320</td>
<td>$750,623</td>
<td>$1,163,281</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
<td>$309,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4250</td>
<td>OTHER INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE</td>
<td>$2,734</td>
<td>$26,354</td>
<td>$168,377</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
<td>$42,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4300</td>
<td>CHARGES FOR SERVICE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4310</td>
<td>INSPECTION FEES</td>
<td>$246,674</td>
<td>$30,492</td>
<td>$32,721</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$40,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4315</td>
<td>PLAN REVIEW</td>
<td>$193,564</td>
<td>$186,762</td>
<td>$140,363</td>
<td>$162,843</td>
<td>$100,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4320</td>
<td>WEED ABATEMENT CHARGES</td>
<td>$5,893</td>
<td>$6,682</td>
<td>$8,130</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
<td>$28,299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4330</td>
<td>AMBULANCE SERVICES</td>
<td>$1,896,017</td>
<td>$1,304,298</td>
<td>$2,314,570</td>
<td>$2,351,610</td>
<td>$2,388,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4340</td>
<td>CPR CLASSES</td>
<td>$2,625</td>
<td>$1,450</td>
<td>$1,890</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$2,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4350</td>
<td>REPORTS/PHOTOCOPIES</td>
<td>$1,618</td>
<td>$1,426</td>
<td>$2,143</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4380</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS CURRENT SERVICES</td>
<td>$4,250</td>
<td>$1,737</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4400</td>
<td>USE OF MONEY &amp; PROPERTY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4410</td>
<td>INVESTMENT EARNINGS</td>
<td>$1,789,342</td>
<td>$1,723,008</td>
<td>$638,353</td>
<td>$508,400</td>
<td>$250,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td>RENTS, ROYALTIES AND COMMISSIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4510</td>
<td>RENT ON REAL ESTATE</td>
<td>$132,366</td>
<td>$139,557</td>
<td>$157,865</td>
<td>$147,800</td>
<td>$143,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4600</td>
<td>OTHER REVENUE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4610</td>
<td>DONATIONS/CONTRIBUTIONS</td>
<td>$2,095</td>
<td>$726</td>
<td>$470</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4620</td>
<td>SALE OF PROPERTY</td>
<td>$460</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$19,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4630</td>
<td>INDEMNIFYING PROCEEDS</td>
<td>$19,425</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4640</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE</td>
<td>$43,923</td>
<td>$25,424</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$38,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4900</td>
<td>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4910</td>
<td>DEBT PROCEEDS - OTHER FINANCING SOURCES</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REVENUE TOTAL</td>
<td>$53,325,412</td>
<td>$54,601,652</td>
<td>$55,027,864</td>
<td>$60,149,494</td>
<td>$53,107,469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CAPITAL & EQUIPMENT/VEHICLES FUNDS

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL TO BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2009-2010

[Bar chart showing comparison of actual to budget for Capital Expenditures and Equipment/Vehicles for each month from July to June.]

- Capital Expenditures - Actual
- Capital Expenditures - Budget
- Equipment/Vehicles - Actual
- Equipment/Vehicles - Budget